



# Community Health Assessment (CHA) Community Engagement Survey Results

December 16, 2019

# 2019 Status of Our Vital Signs

## Community Health Status Assessment

Understand the needs of our community, so we can collectively:


- Identify health needs and issues
- Identify and align resources
- Inform the Community Transformation Plan

**By gathering community input:**



- How they feel about the quality of their neighborhood and environment
- How they feel things are progressing over the past 5 years
- Health and Social issues that need to be addressed in their community


# Top Health Issues that need to be Addressed



Other issues deemed important:

- **Poor dental hygiene** (ranked 4<sup>th</sup> by the Morongo Basin)
- **Shortage of healthcare professionals** (ranked 6<sup>th</sup> in the high desert)
- **Suicide** (ranked 5<sup>th</sup> by Hispanics/Latinos)
- **Suicide and Cancer** (ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> by those without a high school diploma)

# Top Social Issues that Need to be Addressed



**Poor public transportation was also deemed a priority issue (ranked 8<sup>th</sup> in the Morongo Basin and by those earning less than \$24,999 per year.**


# ...Other Important Issues

Topic	# of Mentions
Too much crime	47
<b>Need more police/safety</b>	<b>25</b>
Mental health	23
<b>Dumping/trash/unkempt neighborhoods</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Need more resources/activities for youth</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Need more recreation opportunities/spaces</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Need leaders who listen</b>	<b>9</b>

# Community Discussion Results



# What Would Help Improve the Quality of Your Life?



# Community Forum vs. Focus Groups

## Community Forum

- Larger in size, so you can hear more voices
- Useful if there is a large segment of the population was missed
- Less in-depth and less intimate
- Opportunity to educate the community

## Focus Groups

- Smaller in size (5 -7 people)
- Often used to explore issues in greater depth
- Typically not used to make decisions
- Sensitive topics can be explored more openly

## DECIDE TODAY:

- Topic specific?
- Population Group?
- Region?



# Respondent Demographics

Cells in yellow indicate proportions that are greater than +/- 5% of the county proportions



		Stakeholders		Residents		San Bernardino County	
Category		#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Responses		222	100%	1097	100%		
Sex	Male	53	25.48%	270	26.87%	1,081,214	49.79%
	Female	155	74.52%	729	72.54%	1,090,389	50.21%
Age	Under 18	0	0%	84	8.33%	572,279	26.35%
	18 to 43	89	42.38%	436	43.25%	837,885	38.58%
	44 to 64	103	49.05%	437	43.35%	510,271	23.50%
	65+	18	8.57%	51	5.06%	251,168	11.57%
Race/Ethnicity	American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.98%	22	2.24%	19,292	0.89%
	Asian or Asian-American	12	5.88%	41	4.17%	157,436	7.25%
	Black or African-American	31	15.20%	132	13.43%	178,755	8.23%
	Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish Origin	64	31.37%	425	43.23%	1,171,925	53.97%
	Middle Eastern or North African	1	0.49%	8	0.81%	15,751	0.73%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0.49%	9	0.92%	6,908	0.51%
	White or Caucasian	77	37.75%	281	28.59%	1,320,579	60.81%
	Multiple Races or Biracial*	16	7.84%	65	6.61%	105,148	4.84%
Education†	Did Not Finish High School	0	0.00%	106	10.83%	274,164	19.95%
	High School Diploma (or equivalent)	9	4.27%	124	12.67%	367,046	26.70%
	Some College (Degree Not Complete)	29	13.74%	248	25.33%	321,769	23.41%
	Undergraduate Degree	60	28.44%	225	22.98%	117,019	8.51%
	Some Graduate Education (Degree Not Complete)	20	9.48%	70	7.15%	191,474	13.93%
	Graduate or Professional Degree	93	44.08%	206	21.04%	103,080	7.50%
Income‡	Less than \$24,999	8	4.02%	197	21.99%	118,693	18.59%
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	33	16.58%	208	23.21%	128,828	20.17%
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	41	20.60%	172	19.20%	117,125	18.34%
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	27	13.57%	108	12.05%	88,963	13.93%
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	55	27.64%	133	14.84%	103,087	16.14%
	More than \$150,000	35	17.59%	78	8.71%	81,951	12.83%

# Stakeholder & Resident Location



Category	Stakeholders		Residents		San Bernardino County	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Adelanto	3	2%	24	2.51%	32,867	1.51%
Adelanto - HDDC	0	0.00%	34	3.56%	---	---
Apple Valley	11	5.73%	32	3.35%	73,508	3.38%
Barstow	2	1%	7	0.73%	23,609	1.09%
Big Bear Lake	1	0.52%	1	0.10%	5,190	0.24%
Chino	2	1.04%	13	1.36%	91,589	4.22%
Chino Hills	4	2.08%	11	1.15%	83,438	3.84%
Colton	3	1.56%	19	1.99%	54,200	2.50%
Crestline	1	0.52%	3	0.31%	9,581	0.44%
Fontana	14	7.29%	87	9.10%	213,736	9.84%
Grand Terrace	1	0.52%	5	0.52%	12,439	0.57%
Hesperia	6	3.13%	46	4.81%	95,267	4.39%
Highland	6	3.13%	38	3.97%	54,704	2.52%
Joshua Tree	6	3.13%	11	1.15%	7,020	0.32%
Lake Arrowhead	0	0.00%	1	0.10%	10,612	0.49%
Loma Linda	3	1.56%	12	1.26%	23,961	1.10%
Lucerne Valley	0	0.00%	1	0.10%	5,472	0.25%
Montclair	4	2.08%	8	0.84%	38,613	1.78%
Needles	2	1.04%	1	0.10%	4,984	0.23%
Ontario	2	1.04%	74	7.74%	181,119	8.34%
Other/Not Listed	33	17.19%	33	3.45%	270,583	12.46%
Rancho Cucamonga	10	5.21%	57	5.96%	177,742	8.18%
Redlands	9	4.69%	30	3.14%	71,595	3.30%
Rialto	5	2.60%	37	3.87%	103,446	4.76%
Running Springs	0	0.00%	1	0.10%	4,427	0.20%
San Bernardino City	23	11.98%	124	12.97%	215,929	9.94%
Twentynine Palms	9	4.69%	66	6.90%	25,995	1.20%
Upland	4	2.08%	48	5.02%	77,002	3.55%
Victorville	12	6.25%	78	8.16%	122,305	5.63%
Wrightwood	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4,286	0.20%
Yermo	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1,750	0.08%
Yucaipa	9	4.69%	29	3.03%	53,151	2.45%
Yucca Valley	7	3.65%	25	2.62%	21,483	0.99%

## Relative Size

- 1st Largest
- 2nd Largest
- 3rd Largest
- 4th Largest
- 5th Largest
- 6th Largest
- 7th Largest
- 8th Largest
- 9th Largest
- 10th Largest
- 11th Largest

Source: <http://data.census.gov>

Most recent American Community Survey data was used, predominantly 2018 and 2017, depending on the place.